

DEATH OF A PRISONER FOR LIFE.

The Four American Forgers Who Trifled with the Bank of England.

The Last Day at the Central Criminal Court.

Begging for Mercy Without Effect and the Hard Features of "Exact Justice."

HONOR AMONG THIEVES.

The London journals of August 27, devote immense space to the final proceedings against the Bank of England forgers who have been undergoing trial before Mr. Justice Archibald at the Central Criminal Court.

The Herald in a full report of these proceedings, and has recorded the sentence to penal servitude for life passed by the Court on the four American criminals. The case, however, merits further notice, because of the extraordinary interest it has excited on both sides of the Atlantic.

The London papers have almost without exception, long editorial articles recapitulating the career of ingenuity and audacity led by these men.

[From the London Daily News, August 27.]

THE LAST DAY OF THE TRIAL.

After the evidence was closed, Mr. Giffard proceeded to sum up the case for the prosecution, and he said he probably should not have occupied the time of the jury in so doing but for some witnesses that had been introduced into the case, with regard to whom he thought a few observations might be made. He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty. He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

He then explained that the charge against the prisoners was that they had all combined together to commit the forgery of the Bank of England notes, and that each of them had taken part in the crime in a different way, but that they were all equally guilty.

WASHINGTON.

The Payment of the Geneva Award.

The exact amount of exchange sold by the British government to the bankers entrusted with the payment of the Geneva award was \$3,191,419.58, and the amount of government securities purchased and transferred on gold certificates was \$7,308,480.42, making the total of \$10,500,000. The object in calling in the 20,000,000 five twenties on June 6, was to anticipate the three months' notice required by law for returning bonds bearing six per cent, so that the Geneva award could be promptly invested when the sum was paid into the Treasury instead of giving notice three months from the date of payment.

Secretary Richardson was certain the payment would be promptly made, and the call of June 6 being long since made, the Treasury Department and has nothing to do with the business of the Syndicate. Of the five twenties, interest on which ceased yesterday, four millions were registered bonds held entirely in this country, principally by national banks and insurance companies, and of the remainder (sixteen millions of coupon bonds) one-half at least were held in the United States. The agents of the British government would, had it been possible, have paid the full amount of the award in the bonds of the United States, and every effort was made to secure the called bonds. Germany was searched, and yet of the June call only two millions could be found in that country. The object of the call was not however to help the parties engaged in this country, but to save the government a loss of interest, by the award when made. Many plans were proposed by the Syndicate by which the business was to be consummated, and the simple plan of the Secretary of the Treasury was the only one which met the approval of those consulted. He made the call of bonds large enough to cover the full amount, and said to the bankers, "here are called bonds worth their face value in gold. Get them wherever you can find them, for they will be paid on the 6th of September, and the gold certificates will be obligations on the part of this government to pay whenever presented."

The result has been satisfactory to all concerned, and while no advantage whatever was given the bankers, our government reaps a double benefit, the one being that the call of \$10,500,000 of securities has already been followed by subscriptions to nearly that amount of the new five per cent bonds, which, with the five per cent in which the award is to be invested, and the \$68,000,000 already subscribed by the syndicate, will now make a total of \$300,000,000 five per cent bonds bearing interest, and leave but \$300,000,000 of this part of the new funded loan to be placed.

The Work of the American and Spanish Claims Commission.

The American and Spanish Commission has, thus far, disposed of about ten of the claims before it. The number pending is 118, some of which have been filed since May last. Several are now before the umpire for his decision. It is said at the office of the Commission that the business would have been closed in eighteen months ago but for the delay in obtaining information outside of the limits of Havana. If the existing rules with regard to the filing of printed memorials and exhibits, in both English and Spanish, shall not be complied with before the 1st of November, the cases which they relate will be dismissed.

The Resignation of Governor Cooke.

The resignation of Governor Henry D. Cooke is in abeyance, and will be accepted in a short time. Mr. Cooke leaves here the latter part of this week for New York, whence he will sail on the 13th for Europe to join his family in Switzerland, at Berne. From that place he will, it is said, send the necessary document to the President, and the office will be tendered to A. R. Shepherd, the present Vice President of the Board of Public Works, though the announcement will not be promulgated for at least six weeks. In the meantime, it is also stated that Mr. Cooke will remain about two years, and will, after his travelling season, be attached to the London firm, exchanging places in the banking house with Mr. McCulloch, who is expected here the latter part of this month.

The Vexatious Delay in the Delivery of the New York Mails.

The continued irregularity of the New York mail for Washington is becoming intolerable. Inquiry at the Post Office Department shows that the detention is entirely north of Baltimore, and that the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad, instead of being at fault, is the only company—barring unavoidable detentions by accident—that has promptly fulfilled its part of the contract. It is stated by the Post Office officials that frequently the mail has been brought to Baltimore, and that it is by engine, when delivered behind time, the Baltimore and Ohio Company, and is never on their road over sixty minutes. It is also said, in defence of the postal arrangements, that these delays were very seldom known until the Pennsylvania Central Railroad, which controls and owns the route from Philadelphia to New York, and the Baltimore and Potomac Railroad from Baltimore to Washington, ten days ago began its prospective action against the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company. The object, it is said, is undoubtedly to compel the Postmaster General to annul the contract with the Baltimore and Ohio Company and give it to the Baltimore and Potomac, or else make the public endure the detention of the postal car north of Baltimore. The Baltimore and Potomac Railroad, which controls and owns the route from Philadelphia to New York, and the Baltimore and Potomac Railroad from Baltimore to Washington, ten days ago began its prospective action against the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company. The object, it is said, is undoubtedly to compel the Postmaster General to annul the contract with the Baltimore and Ohio Company and give it to the Baltimore and Potomac, or else make the public endure the detention of the postal car north of Baltimore.

DANGEROUS NOISE IN JERSEY CITY.

For some months past every person who has passed within a quarter of a mile of the slaughter house and guano factory on the boundary line between Jersey City and Hoboken has been compelled to guard against the intolerable stink of stench, and to tolerate the noise of the machinery that from that quarter. Complaints have been made by hundreds of persons, many of whom have been taken sick while passing, but so far not the slightest heed has been paid to the earnest appeals of the people who suffer. Disease has been the result of the noise and stink, and the health authorities are now endeavoring to induce the owners to adopt measures for the relief of the neighboring inhabitants, the matter will be brought under the Chancellor's notice.

FEARFUL FALL.

At a late hour on Saturday night John McConnell, a late, sixteen years of age, who lived in the six-story tenement house No. 9 Mulberry street, proceeded to the roof to take a nap, and subsequently, while asleep, rolled off to the front sidewalk, falling within a few inches of where his mother was sitting. The fall was a most fearful one, and the poor fellow was killed. The body was removed to the Park Hospital, where death ensued soon afterwards. Coroner Young was called to hold an inquest on the body in Mulberry street, and it has been taken by permission of the Coroner.

HAVANA MARKETS.

Sugar.—Demand light; holders firm. No. 10 to 14 Dutch sugar, 10 to 14 cents; No. 15 to 18, 9 to 10 cents; No. 19 to 22, 8 to 9 cents; No. 23 to 26, 7 to 8 cents; No. 27 to 30, 6 to 7 cents; No. 31 to 34, 5 to 6 cents; No. 35 to 38, 4 to 5 cents; No. 39 to 42, 3 to 4 cents; No. 43 to 46, 2 to 3 cents; No. 47 to 50, 1 to 2 cents; No. 51 to 54, 10 to 12 cents; No. 55 to 58, 11 to 13 cents; No. 59 to 62, 12 to 14 cents; No. 63 to 66, 13 to 15 cents; No. 67 to 70, 14 to 16 cents; No. 71 to 74, 15 to 17 cents; No. 75 to 78, 16 to 18 cents; No. 79 to 82, 17 to 19 cents; No. 83 to 86, 18 to 20 cents; No. 87 to 90, 19 to 21 cents; No. 91 to 94, 20 to 22 cents; No. 95 to 98, 21 to 23 cents; No. 99 to 102, 22 to 24 cents; No. 103 to 106, 23 to 25 cents; No. 107 to 110, 24 to 26 cents; No. 111 to 114, 25 to 27 cents; No. 115 to 118, 26 to 28 cents; No. 119 to 122, 27 to 29 cents; No. 123 to 126, 28 to 30 cents; No. 127 to 130, 29 to 31 cents; No. 131 to 134, 30 to 32 cents; No. 135 to 138, 31 to 33 cents; No. 139 to 142, 32 to 34 cents; No. 143 to 146, 33 to 35 cents; No. 147 to 150, 34 to 36 cents; No. 151 to 154, 35 to 37 cents; No. 155 to 158, 36 to 38 cents; No. 159 to 162, 37 to 39 cents; No. 163 to 166, 38 to 40 cents; No. 167 to 170, 39 to 41 cents; No. 171 to 174, 40 to 42 cents; No. 175 to 178, 41 to 43 cents; No. 179 to 182, 42 to 44 cents; No. 183 to 186, 43 to 45 cents; No. 187 to 190, 44 to 46 cents; No. 191 to 194, 45 to 47 cents; No. 195 to 198, 46 to 48 cents; No. 199 to 202, 47 to 49 cents; No. 203 to 206, 48 to 50 cents; No. 207 to 210, 49 to 51 cents; No. 211 to 214, 50 to 52 cents; No. 215 to 218, 51 to 53 cents; No. 219 to 222, 52 to 54 cents; No. 223 to 226, 53 to 55 cents; No. 227 to 230, 54 to 56 cents; No. 231 to 234, 55 to 57 cents; No. 235 to 238, 56 to 58 cents; No. 239 to 242, 57 to 59 cents; No. 243 to 246, 58 to 60 cents; No. 247 to 250, 59 to 61 cents; No. 251 to 254, 60 to 62 cents; No. 255 to 258, 61 to 63 cents; No. 259 to 262, 62 to 64 cents; No. 263 to 266, 63 to 65 cents; No. 267 to 270, 64 to 66 cents; No. 271 to 274, 65 to 67 cents; No. 275 to 278, 66 to 68 cents; No. 279 to 282, 67 to 69 cents; No. 283 to 286, 68 to 70 cents; No. 287 to 290, 69 to 71 cents; No. 291 to 294, 70 to 72 cents; No. 295 to 298, 71 to 73 cents; No. 299 to 302, 72 to 74 cents; No. 303 to 306, 73 to 75 cents; No. 307 to 310, 74 to 76 cents; No. 311 to 314, 75 to 77 cents; No. 315 to 318, 76 to 78 cents; No. 319 to 322, 77 to 79 cents; No. 323 to 326, 78 to 80 cents; No. 327 to 330, 79 to 81 cents; No. 331 to 334, 80 to 82 cents; No. 335 to 338, 81 to 83 cents; No. 339 to 342, 82 to 84 cents; No. 343 to 346, 83 to 85 cents; No. 347 to 350, 84 to 86 cents; No. 351 to 354, 85 to 87 cents; No. 355 to 358, 86 to 88 cents; No. 359 to 362, 87 to 89 cents; No. 363 to 366, 88 to 90 cents; No. 367 to 370, 89 to 91 cents; No. 371 to 374, 90 to 92 cents; No. 375 to 378, 91 to 93 cents; No. 379 to 382, 92 to 94 cents; No. 383 to 386, 93 to 95 cents; No. 387 to 390, 94 to 96 cents; No. 391 to 394, 95 to 97 cents; No. 395 to 398, 96 to 98 cents; No. 399 to 402, 97 to 99 cents; No. 403 to 406, 98 to 100 cents; No. 407 to 410, 99 to 101 cents; No. 411 to 414, 100 to 102 cents; No. 415 to 418, 101 to 103 cents; No. 419 to 422, 102 to 104 cents; No. 423 to 426, 103 to 105 cents; No. 427 to 430, 104 to 106 cents; No. 431 to 434, 105 to 107 cents; No. 435 to 438, 106 to 108 cents; No. 439 to 442, 107 to 109 cents; No. 443 to 446, 108 to 110 cents; No